Applicants' undersigned attorney thanks Examiner Nash for the courtesies and thoughtful treatment afforded during an interview conducted by telephone on October 26, 2005. During the interview, it was agreed that the current claim language as it stands overcomes the applied art of record, including U.S. Patent 5,905,872 (DeSimone).

More specifically, as discussed during the interview, the invention involves a selection of a manner of data transfer, including a selection of a direct transfer using a direct connection between a network computer and another computer, or a referential transfer to the network computer from a network server using a reference to the network server supplied by the other computer.

In contrast, DeSimone does not make a selection of a manner of data transfer, but rather makes a determination of whether a connection between a client 201 and a server 206 is a direct connection via proxy 203 or a connection via the Internet.

However, regardless of the manner of DeSimone's connection, and even though a connection might go through proxy 203, DeSimone's data transfer remains always between client 201 and server 206. Thus, DeSimone does not make a selection of the manner of data transfer, for the reason that in the invention's referential transfer, the transfer is between the network computer and a network server, and not between the network computer and the other computer.

The Examiner agreed that DeSimone does not make a selection of the manner of data transfer, including a selection of a direct transfer or a referential transfer, and therefore agreed to withdraw the rejection upon filing of this formal request. The Examiner would not agree that the claims were allowable, however, and indicated that

further search and consideration would be needed. On the other hand, the Examiner agreed

that it would not be necessary to file an RCE in order to obtain such further consideration

and search.

Based on the agreements reached at the interview, it is respectfully

requested for the Examiner to withdraw the final rejection of the claims, to conduct further

searching and/or consideration of the claims as they stand, and to issue a new action on the

merits.

Regarding a formal matter involving the drawings, it is respectfully

requested for the Examiner to indicate that the formal drawings filed on October 12, 2001

have been approved.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa,

California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to

our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants Michael K. O'Neill

Registration No. 32,622

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10112-3800

Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_MAIN 104145v1

- 3 -